Iranian Journal of Neurology



Iran J Neurol 2017; 16(3): 107-11

Outcome of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation on long-term motor function of patients with advanced Parkinson disease

Received: 27 Feb. 2017 Accepted: 02 May 2017

Gholam Ali Shahidi¹, Mohammad Rohani¹, Mansour Parvaresh², Bahram Haghi-Ashtiani², Maryam Saeedi², Romina Rashedi³, Zeynab Noori-Motlagh³

¹ Department of Neurology, Rasool-e-Akram Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

² Department of Neurology, Firoozgar Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³ Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Keywords

Parkinson Disease; Deep Brain Stimulation; UPDRS

Abstract

Background: The objective of our study was to assess Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) score in Parkinson disease (PD) patients who underwent subthalamic nucleus (STN) deep brain stimulation (DBS) 6 years after their surgery and to compare their UPDRS score 6 years after DBS with their score before surgery and 6 months after their operation.

Methods: In this cross sectional study which was carried out at Neurology Department of Rasool-e Akram Hospital, Tehran, Iran, affiliated to Iran University of Medical Sciences between 2008 and 2014, 37 patients with advanced PD were enrolled using non-randomized sampling method. All of the patients underwent STN DBS surgery and one patient died before being discharged, therefore; we started our study with 36 patients. The UPDRS III total score at preoperative state, 6-month follow-up and 6-year follow-up state were compared using repeated-

measure analysis of variance.

Results: Thirty-seven patients (26 men and 10 women) with mean age of 50 ± 3 ranging from 32 to 72 years underwent STN DBS surgery. All patients were suffering from advanced PD with mean period of 11.3 \pm 1.9 years. All patients except one were followed up for six months. And 14 patients (8 men and 6 women) were included in a six-year follow-up. The UPDRS score measurements before surgery, at 6-month follow-up and 6-year follow-up were 18.22 \pm 2.88, 12.80 \pm 3.14, 25.0 \pm 11.8, respectively. Significant increase in UPDRS score was observed between the preoperative and six-year follow-up period (P < 0.001).

Conclusion: In conclusion, this study suggests that total UPDRS score will increase at 5 years following STN DBS and also showed that resting tremor, one of UPDRS sub-scores, will improve over time and the benefit of DBS will be persistent even after 6 years.

Introduction

Parkinson disease (PD) is a disabling neurodegenerative disease that is characterized by resting tremor, rigidity and stooped posture

Iranian Journal of Neurology © 2017 Email: ijnl@tums.ac.ir

Corresponding Author: Bahram Haghi-Ashtiani Email: bhaghi2000@yahoo.com and can variably affect the daily function of the patients.^{1,2} Levodopa (L-dopa) is known as the best medication for treating PD, yet this medication has many side effects such as dyskinesia if taken for a long period.^{3,4} Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a trending surgical method that has been mostly used in the last two decades due to being less invasive and the fact that it can be performed bilaterally.5-10 Subthalamic nucleus (STN) stimulation is considered as a major target in PD patients.¹¹ STN DBS in PD patients has shown to improve motor function and daily activities of the patients in short-term period but there is little evidence that clarifies the role of STN DBS for improving non-motor symptoms.12,13 Despite lack of evidence, some studies also showed that this method of surgery can have a positive effect on some of non-motor symptoms.14 There are studies that have shown the effectiveness of STN DBS on motor symptoms of PD patients in short-term period using Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS).15-18 There is limited evidence on the effectiveness of STN DBS in long-term period; however, the study on long-term effectiveness of STN DBS was done in China but it had a small sample size and it has shown improvement only in some aspects of motor function.19 Since there has been a lack of clinical data on long-term effectiveness of STN DBS on motor symptoms of PD patients, this study aimed to assess UPDRS score in PD patients who underwent STN DBS 6 years after their surgery. In addition we also observed the patients to detect any possible significant improvement by comparing their UPDRS score 6 years after DBS with their score before surgery and 6 months after their operation.

Materials and Methods

In this cross sectional study which was carried out at Neurology Department of Rasool-e Akram Hospital, Tehran, Iran, affiliated to Iran University of Medical Sciences between 2008 and 2014, 37 patients with advanced PD were enrolled using non-randomized sampling method.

All of the patients underwent STN DBS surgery and one of the patients died before being discharged from the hospital due to myocardial infarction; therefore, we started our study with 36 patients.

Patients were included if they improved more than 30% in L-dopa challenge test and were excluded in case of having severe cardiovascular disease, uncontrolled high blood pressure, active ischemic cardiac disease, cerebrovascular accident, cancer and also if they were consuming any anticoagulant drugs.²⁰ In addition to these criteria, a stable dose of L-dopa was also maintained for at least 2 months prior to the surgery.

The study had the approval from the Institutional Review Board of Iran University of Medical Sciences (approval ID: IR.IUMS.REC1395.8821215181). Written informed consents were taken from all patients. A shortterm (6 months) and a long-term (6 years) followup were done to evaluate and compare UPDRS score in the patients before and after surgery.

Stereotactic surgery was performed in all of our patients. This operation was done in different steps in order to detect the best point of stimulation in STN. First step was done using stereotactic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The surgeons used the MR compatible frame on patient's head and chose the best anatomical point of STN.

The second step was done in the operating room to assess the electrophysiological activity of different nuclei by the means of tetra polar electrodes and to find the best point for inserting the permanent electrodes in STN in the operating room. This procedure was performed under local anesthesia and a trained neurologist was present to assess clinical response to DBS while operating. After determining the optimal track, the corresponding microelectrode was removed and a permanent lead was replaced. Finally, the subcutaneous pulse generator was placed in the pectoralis major muscle after few days under general anesthesia. During the weeks following the surgery, an experienced neurologist programmed the pulse generators.

Short-term follow-up was done in all of our 36 patients. However, in long-term follow-up, 22 patients were excluded from the study due to death or because they were followed up in another center and long-term follow-up was only done in 14 patients.

Postoperative motor performance was evaluated using the UPDRS part III right after the surgery, 6 months after the surgery and also 6 years after the operation.

UPDRS part III measures items such as speech, facial expression, resting tremor, acting tremor, rigidity, finger tap, hand movement, rapid alternating movements, leg agility, rising from the chair, gait, bradykinesia, and posture stability. Each score is from 0 to 4^{21} Lower scores show better performance.

Preoperative UPDRS III evaluation was also done in the on- and off-medication state. Postoperative scores were only assessed in on-medication state 6 months and 6 years after the surgery.

In this study, all data were analyzed by SPSS software (version 22, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Descriptive analysis of the data with normal distribution is available as means and standard deviation.

The UPDRS III total score at preoperative state, 6-month follow-up and 6-year follow-up state were compared using repeated-measure analysis of variance (ANOVA). The distribution of UPDRS III sub-scores was not normal; therefore, we compared them using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. We also used repeated-measure ANOVA to compare doses of L-dopa in three phase of our follow-up.

Results

Thirty seven patients (26 men and 10 women) with the mean age of 50 ± 3 ranging from 32 to 72 years underwent STN DBS surgery. All patients were diagnosed with advanced PD with the mean period of 11.3 ± 1.9 years from the onset of the symptoms till the time of surgery. All patients except one, who died from the

myocardial infarction, were followed up for six months, and 14 patients (8 men and 6 women) were included in a six-year follow-up.

The UPDRS score measurements were 18.22 ± 2.88 , 12.80 ± 3.14 , 25.0 ± 11.8 before the surgery, 6 months, and six years after the surgery, respectively which showed significant difference between preoperative score and the score 6 years after the operation (P = 0.033), and also significant increase in UPDRS score was observed between the preoperative and six-year follow-up period (P < 0.001) (Table 1).

Sub-score measurements revealed significant differences between preoperative and six-year follow-up scores of resting tremor (P = 0.020), speech (P = 0.007), rapid alternating movements of the hands (P = 0.011), hand movements (P = 0.010), finger tap (P = 0.009), and facial expression (P = 0.021) (Table 1). Furthermore, significant differences were seen between sub-scores of speech (P = 0.007), rigidity (P = 0.022), rapid alternating movements of the hands (P = 0.003), leg agility (P = 0.028), hand movements (P = 0.005), finger tap (P = 0.001) and facial expression (P = 0.021) between the time points of 6-month and six-year follow-up (Table 1).

We also measured the doses of L-dopa, which our patients were consuming before surgery, in short-term follow-up and in long-term period.

Time	Preoperation	Postoperation	6 years after surgery	Before surgery (P)	6 months after surgery (P)
UPDRS (mean ± SD)	18.22 ± 2.88	12.80 ± 3.14	25.00 ± 11.80	0.033	< 0.001
Sub-scores (mean \pm SD)					
Speech	1.10 ± 0.70	1.05 ± 0.89	1.90 ± 1.07	0.021	0.021
Facial expression	1.10 ± 0.40	0.97 ± 0.44	1.50 ± 0.75	0.020	0.112
Tremor at rest	1.50 ± 1.90	0.83 ± 1.38	0.14 ± 0.50	0.257	0.414
Action or postural tremor of hands	0.54 ± 0.77	0.25 ± 0.50	0.28 ± 0.61	0.505	0.022
Rigidity	3.40 ± 2.60	1.40 ± 2.00	4.20 ± 4.20	0.009	0.001
Finger taps	1.60 ± 1.30	1.25 ± 1.60	3.40 ± 1.90	0.010	0.005
Hand movements	1.41 ± 1.40	1.02 ± 1.44	2.60 ± 1.70	0.011	0.003
Rapid alternating movements of hands	0.83 ± 1.05	0.50 ± 1.02	2.60 ± 1.70	0.077	0.028
Leg agility	2.80 ± 1.70	2.25 ± 1.90	3.50 ± 2.10	0.157	0.206
Arising from chair	0.19 ± 0.40	0.16 ± 0.50	0.50 ± 0.65	0.317	0.132
Posture	0.83 ± 0.50	0.70 ± 0.60	0.92 ± 0.80	0.194	0.053
Gait	0.75 ± 0.69	0.60 ± 0.70	1.00 ± 0.80	0.160	0.190
Postural stability	1.02 ± 0.65	1.00 ± 0.70	1.40 ± 0.85	0.132	0.366
Body bradykinesia and hypokinesia	0.91 ± 0.70	0.63 ± 0.79	1.00 ± 0.87	0.538	0.111

Table 1. Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) scores and sub-scores at different time points and reporting P

SD: Standard deviation; UPDRS: Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale

Follow-up periods	Number of patients	Gender	Age (year) (mean ± SD)	L-dopa (mg/dl) (mean ± SD)
Preoperation (baseline)	36	Men: 26, Women: 10	50 ± 3	1269 ± 114
Six-month (short-term)	36	Men: 26, Women: 10	50 ± 3	783 ± 87
Six-year (long-term)	14	Men: 8, Women: 6	49 ± 8	992 ± 170

Table 2. L-dopa dosage in patients at different time points

The mean L-dopa equivalent doses showed significant decline from $1296 \pm 224 \text{ mg/d}$ before surgery (baseline) to $783 \pm 87 \text{ mg/d}$ after DBS in short-term period (P < 0.005), but there was no significant difference between the doses of L-dopa consumption in long-term follow-up and short-term follow-up (P = 0.110). Furthermore, there was no significant decline between long-term follow up and preoperative doses of L-dopa (P = 0.530) (Table 2).

Discussion

The purpose of this study was to assess UPDRS score in patients suffering from PD who underwent STN DBS 6 years ago and to compare UPDRS score 6 years after the surgery with their score 6 months after the surgery and also their score before the STN DBS. We measured their UPDRS score while they were all on medication and according to their UPDRS score after 6-year follow-up, we found that total motor function got worse after 6 years following the operation compared to the score before and 6 months after the surgery. We also compared UPDRS sub-scores in patients 6 years after the surgery with their score in 6-month follow-up and also their pre-operative sub-scores. We found a significant improvement in patients' resting tremor in 6-year follow-up compared to their pre-operative state. We also observed an improvement in the tremor at rest of patients 6 years following the surgery in comparison to their score in 6-month follow-up, although it was statistically insignificant. Total worsening in motor function of the patients in 6-year follow-up compared to their state before surgery was mainly due to their poor score in speech, facial expression, finger taps, hand movements and rapid alternating movements of hands. When we compared sub-scores 6 years after STN DBS with patients' sub-scores 6 months after the surgery, we found that the reason for worse motor status was mainly the poor result in speech, facial expression, rigidity, finger taps, hand movements and rapid alternating movements of hands and leg agility.

We also measured the dosage of L-dopa

needed in patients 6 months and 6 years after the surgery and we compared them with the daily doses of the medication needed before the operation and we found that during short-term follow-up, patients' requirement for L-dopa had significantly decreased. However, in a long-term, dose of L-dopa needed in patients had reduced, but it was statistically insignificant.

In a study that was conducted in 2009 on the same patients as the current study, UPDRS score was measured 6 months after STN DBS and the results indicated a significant improvement in UPDRS score in short-term follow-up.²² In some studies which observed motor symptoms of the patients in 5 years and a few studies which followed the patients more than 8 years, DBS was shown to be beneficial for tremor, rigidity, and bradykinesia but not for axial symptoms.^{8,23-27} However, in our study, the efficacy of STN DBS was only persistent for tremor at rest and not for other symptoms.

In another study that was conducted in China, it was shown that in the off-medication state, motor symptoms were significantly improved 5 years following the operation. However, similar to our study, it was implied that with longer follow-up in on-medication state, worsening of motor function is expected due to L-dopa resistance symptoms.¹⁹

One of our study limitations was small sample size, which could inevitably result in declined statistical power. Furthermore, we lost 61.1% of our patients (22 from 36 patients) in 6-year follow-up and that could also lead to bias. Finally, we could not evaluate UPDRS in off-medication state since patients were unwilling to discontinue their L-dopa.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study suggests that total UPDRS score will increase at 5 years following STN DBS and the patients' motor function will worsen in log-term follow-up after the operation. Our study also showed that resting tremor, one of UPDRS sub-scores, will improve over time and the benefit of DBS will be persistent even after 6 years.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this study.

Acknowledgments

We thankfully appreciate those who contributed in our study as Parkinson disease patients for facilitating the access to required data and also all our colleagues in Firoozgar and Rasoul Akram

References

- Gilbert RM, Fahn S, Mitsumoto H, Rowland LP. Parkinsonism and motor neuron diseases: twenty-seven patients with diverse overlap syndromes. Mov Disord 2010; 25(12): 1868-75.
- Jankovic J. Parkinson's disease: Clinical features and diagnosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2008; 79(4): 368-76.
- Fahn S. The medical treatment of Parkinson disease from James Parkinson to George Cotzias. Mov Disord 2015; 30(1): 4-18.
- Mazzucchi S, Frosini D, Bonuccelli U, Ceravolo R. Current treatment and future prospects of dopa-induced dyskinesias. Drugs Today (Barc) 2015; 51(5): 315-29.
- Pandey S, Sarma N. Deep brain stimulation: Current status. Neurol India 2015; 63(1): 9-18.
- Kumar R, Lozano AM, Kim YJ, Hutchison WD, Sime E, Halket E, et al. Double-blind evaluation of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in advanced Parkinson's disease. Neurology 1998; 51(3): 850-5.
- Vingerhoets FJ, Villemure JG, Temperli P, Pollo C, Pralong E, Ghika J. Subthalamic DBS replaces levodopa in Parkinson's disease: Two-year follow-up. Neurology 2002; 58(3): 396-401.
- Neurology 2002; 58(3): 396-401.
 Krack P, Batir A, Van Blercom N, Chabardes S, Fraix V, Ardouin C, et al. Five-year follow-up of bilateral stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in advanced Parkinson's disease. N Engl J Med 2003; 349(20): 1925-34.
- Visser-Vandewalle V, van der Linden C, Temel Y, Celik H, Ackermans L, Spincemaille G, et al. Long-term effects of bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation in advanced Parkinson disease: A four year follow-up study. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2005; 11(3): 157-65.
- Rodriguez-Oroz MC, Obeso JA, Lang AE, Houeto JL, Pollak P, Rehncrona S, et al. Bilateral deep brain stimulation in

Parkinson's disease: A multicentre study with 4 years follow-up. Brain 2005; 128(Pt 10): 2240-9.

- Bari AA, Fasano A, Munhoz RP, Lozano AM. Improving outcomes of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease. Expert Rev Neurother 2015; 15(10): 1151-60.
- Kleiner-Fisman G, Herzog J, Fisman DN, Tamma F, Lyons KE, Pahwa R, et al. Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation: Summary and meta-analysis of outcomes. Mov Disord 2006; 21(Suppl 14): S290-S304.
- Alamiri A, Ughratdar I, Samuel M, Ashkan K. Deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in Parkinson's disease 2003-2013: Where are we another 10 years on? Br J Neurosurg 2015; 29(3): 319-28.
- Deuschl G, Paschen S, Witt K. Clinical outcome of deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. Handb Clin Neurol 2013; 116: 107-28.
- Cioni B. Motor cortex stimulation for Parkinson's disease. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2007; 97(Pt 2): 233-8.
- 16. Pagni CA, Albanese A, Bentivoglio A, Broggi G, Canavero S, Cioni B, et al. Results by motor cortex stimulation in treatment of focal dystonia, Parkinson's disease and post-ictal spasticity. The experience of the Italian Study Group of the Italian Neurosurgical Society. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2008; 101: 13-21.
- Zrinzo L, Holl EM, Petersen EA, Limousin P, Foltynie T, Hariz MI. Skewering the subthalamic nucleus via a parietal approach. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2011; 89(2): 70-5.
- Lukins TR, Tisch S, Jonker B. The latest evidence on target selection in deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. J Clin Neurosci 2014; 21(1): 22-7.
- Jiang LL, Liu JL, Fu XL, Xian WB, Gu J, Liu YM, et al. Long-term efficacy of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in parkinson's disease: A

Hospitals for helping us to do this study.

How to cite this article: Shahidi GA, Rohani M, Parvaresh M, Haghi-Ashtiani B, Saeedi M, Rashedi R, et al. Outcome of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation on long-term motor function of patients with advanced Parkinson disease. Iran J Neurol 2017; 16(3): 107-11.

5-year follow-up study in China. Chin Med J (Engl) 2015; 128(18): 2433-8.

- Okun MS, Foote KD. Parkinson's disease DBS: what, when, who and why? The time has come to tailor DBS targets. Expert Rev Neurother 2010; 10(12): 1847-57.
- Oung QW, Muthusamy H, Lee HL, Basah SN, Yaacob S, Sarillee M, et al. Technologies for Assessment of Motor Disorders in Parkinson's Disease: A Review. Sensors (Basel) 2015; 15(9): 21710-45.
- Haghi-Ashtiani B, Shahidi G, Rohani M, Jalili M, Sina F. Early outcome of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation. Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran 2009; 23(3): 122-7.
- Gervais-Bernard H, Xie-Brustolin J, Mertens P, Polo G, Klinger H, Adamec D, et al. Bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation in advanced Parkinson's disease: Five year follow-up. J Neurol 2009; 256(2): 225-33.
- 24. Fasano A, Romito LM, Daniele A, Piano C, Zinno M, Bentivoglio AR, et al. Motor and cognitive outcome in patients with Parkinson's disease 8 years after subthalamic implants. Brain 2010; 133(9): 2664-76.
- 25. Zibetti M, Merola A, Rizzi L, Ricchi V, Angrisano S, Azzaro C, et al. Beyond nine years of continuous subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 2011; 26(13): 2327-34.
- Castrioto A, Lozano AM, Poon YY, Lang AE, Fallis M, Moro E. Ten-year outcome of subthalamic stimulation in Parkinson disease: A blinded evaluation. Arch Neurol 2011; 68(12): 1550-6.
- Lilleeng B, Gjerstad M, Baardsen R, Dalen I, Larsen JP. Motor symptoms after deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus. Acta Neurol Scand 2015; 131(5): 298-304.